IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 1259 of 2005 (M/B)

1. Sunil Kumar S/o Bishambhar Lal
2. Anil Kumar S/o Bishambhar Lal
3. Bishambhar Lal S/o Banwari Lal
4. Smt. Champa Devi W/o Bishambhar Lal
5. Km. Reeta D/o Bishambhar Lal

All R/o Hathras Colony, Darpan Beauty Parlor,
P.S. Nai Mandi,
Distirct Muzaffarnagar, U.P. ..... Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal.
2. Senior Superintendent of Police,
   District Haridwar.
3. S.H.O., P.S. Gangnahar,
   Roorkee, District Haridwar.
4. Digambar Prasad S/o Late Sri Kabul Chand,
   R/o House No. 730, Maktulpuri,
   Ambar Talab, Roorkee,
   P.S. Gangnahar, Roorkee,
   District Haridwar. ..... Respondents

Mr. K.S. Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. H.C. Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents Nos. 1 to 3.
Mr. Sanjeev Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J. (Oral)

Mr. K.S. Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. H.C. Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents Nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. Sanjeev Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Mr. H.C. Pande, the learned Addl. Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by petitioners Sunil Kumar, Anil Kumar, Bishambhar Lal, Smt. Champa Devi and Km. Reeta seeking

quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against them, now, has become infructuous, as the Police, after the completion of the investigation, though did not find any case worth filing charge sheet against petitioner No. 4 Smt. Champa Devi and petitioner No. 5 Km. Reeta, has filed charge sheet against petitioners Nos. 1 to 3 Sunil Kumar, Anil Kumar and Bishambhar Lal before the concerning court.

3. In this view of the matter, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

4. Consequently, the interim order dated 08.11.2005 stands vacated automatically.

5. With the above order, CLMA No. 9593 of 2005 also stands disposed of.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 04.07.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.) 04.07.2006

G